

2783

FORM LETTER G

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Wayne Almond [walmond217@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 5:03 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Wayne Almond  
408 Clymer Ave  
Morrisville, PA 19067-2270  
(215) 295-0618

RECEIVED  
NOV 24 5 03 PM '09  
REGISTRATION  
REG/COMMENTS

2783

Chambers, Laura M.

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Jamie Caito [shamu38@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 5:03 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jamie Caito  
1649 Pembroke Dr  
Pittsburgh, PA 15243-1551  
(000) 000-0000

RECEIVED  
NOV 24 2009 5:03 PM  
PENN FUTURE

2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Michael Lawlor [mlawlor@estee.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 5:03 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael Lawlor  
123 Washington Ave  
North Wales, PA 19454-3424  
(215) 699-9137

RECEIVED  
2009 NOV 24 PM 5  
PENN FUTURE  
1000 PENNSYLVANIA  
HARRISBURG PA 17101

Chambers, Laura M.

2783

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Dorene Pasekoff [alliums@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 5:33 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dorene Pasekoff  
224 Morgan St  
Phoenixville, PA 19460-3528  
(610) 935-7248

RECEIVED  
NOV 24 2009 5:33 PM  
PENN FUTURE

2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of George Zgela [gizinya@juno.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 5:33 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. George Zgela  
1621 Barbadoes Ave  
Pittsburgh, PA 15226-2417  
(954) 224-2269

RECEIVED  
NOV 24 5 33 PM '09  
PENN FUTURE  
REGISTRATION

2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Carol McCullough [canm70@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 6:03 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol McCullough  
1119 Queensbury St  
Pittsburgh, PA 15205-3728  
(412) 921-6873

RECEIVED  
2009 NOV 24 PM 7:16  
PENN FUTURE

2783

Chambers, Laura M.

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Frank X. Kleshinski [fx.kleshinski@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 6:03 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

NOV 24 11 51 AM '09  
2009 NOV 24 PM 3:42  
RECEIVED

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Frank X. Kleshinski  
209 North Dr  
Jeannette, PA 15644-9629  
(724) 853-8598

2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Dorene Schutz [deedee7@epix.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 6:03 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dorene Schutz  
218 Bowman St  
Wilkes Barre, PA 18702-5405  
(570) 825-5672

2009 NOV 24 PM 5:58  
PENN FUTURE  
PROVIDED

2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Elaine Barthelomew Maiden Creek Watershed Association [maiden\_creek@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 6:03 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED  
212 NOV 30 PM 3 46  
INTERNET  
COMMUNICATIONS

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Elaine Barthelomew Maiden Creek Watershed Association  
PO Box 68  
Kutztown, PA 19530-0068

2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Anthony Capobianco [acapobia@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 6:03 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Anthony Capobianco  
101 Keystone Ct Ste 203  
Bethel Park, PA 15102-4612  
(412) 854-4463

RECEIVED  
NOV 24 2009 6:03 PM  
PENN FUTURE

2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Patricia Roeske [proeske@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 6:33 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED  
NOV 24 2009 6:33 PM  
EPA REGION 3  
PHILADELPHIA

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Patricia Roeske  
13 Palm Ln  
Lebanon, PA 17042-9118  
(717) 272-9715

2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Wayne Michael [kodiakcoho0506@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 6:33 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED  
NOV 24 PM 3:45  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Wayne Michael  
901 2nd St  
Nescopeck, PA 18635-1416  
(570) 759-0022

2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Joan Sage [jsageogf@magpage.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 6:33 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Joan Sage  
914 Kimball St  
Philadelphia, PA 19147-3819  
(215) 922-1892

RECEIVED  
NOV 24 2009 11:30 AM  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Nancy Berry [berrymv@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 6:33 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

RECEIVED  
NOV 24 2009 10:50 AM  
PENN STATE UNIVERSITY

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Nancy Berry  
2 Fox Run Dr  
Chadds Ford, PA 19317-9354  
(610) 388-9634

2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Jane Fava [janefava@verizon.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 6:33 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED  
NOV 24 2009 12:03 PM  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
HARRISBURG, PA

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jane Fava  
626 Meadow Dr  
West Chester, PA 19380-6235  
(610) 429-0109

2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Donna Snyder [dsnyder252000@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 6:33 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Donna Snyder  
610 Pine St  
Hamburg, PA 19526-8195  
(610) 562-0848

RECEIVED  
NOV 24 2009 6:33 PM  
PENN FUTURE

2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Melinda Shirk [m\_shirk@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 7:03 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

RECEIVED  
2009 NOV 20 PM 3  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
HARRISBURG, PA

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Melinda Shirk  
251 Valley View Dr  
Hanover, PA 17331-9313  
(717) 630-9091

2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of James H. Fitch [jhfitc@usa.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 7:03 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. James H. Fitch  
1015 Stanhope St  
Pittsburgh, PA 15204-1623  
(412) 782-4215

RECEIVED  
2009 NOV 24 PM 7  
MAY 20 10 10 AM '09  
MAY 20 10 10 AM '09

2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Patricia Turk [patricia.turk@verizon.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 7:03 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED  
2009 NOV 24 PM 3:45  
PENN STATE  
UNIVERSITY  
HARRISBURG

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.
- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.
- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Patricia Turk  
2914 Bird Dr  
Erie, PA 16510-2726  
(814) 898-0075

Chambers, Laura M.

2783

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Mark Barbash [jzmrk@verizon.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 7:03 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED  
2009 NOV 20 PM 3:45  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mark Barbash  
1907 Brandywine St  
Phila, PA 19130-3202  
(215) 564-0119

2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Jon Nadle [jnadle@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 7:33 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jon Nadle  
858 Gladys Ave  
Pittsburgh, PA 15216-3903  
(412) 343-2268

RECEIVED  
NOV 24 2009  
11 24 AM  
EPA REGION 3  
OFFICE OF THE  
GENERAL COUNSEL

2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Diana Krantz [diana.krantz@verizon.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 7:33 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Diana Krantz  
17 Maple Ave  
Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004-3133  
(610) 660-0720

NOTED FOR REVIEW  
NOV 24 2009 11:30 AM  
RECEIVED

2783

Chambers, Laura M.

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of John McDermott [jfmcd@verizon.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 7:33 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED  
NOV 24 11 54 AM '09  
MIDDLE MANAGEMENT  
REGISTRATION

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. John McDermott  
43 Francis St  
Uniontown, PA 15401-5321  
(724) 439-1299

2783  
Chambers, Laura M.

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Dina Grasso [unk3@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 7:33 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dina Grasso  
2850 S Sheridan St  
Philadelphia, PA 19148-4827  
(215) 334-5819

RECEIVED  
NOV 24 2009 7:33 PM  
PENN FUTURE

2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Sari Steuber [sari@steuber.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 8:03 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED  
NOV 23 PM 3:44  
PENNFuture  
1400 CHESTNUT ST  
PHILADELPHIA PA 19102

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sari Steuber  
45 Paper Mill Rd  
Springfield, PA 19064-2704  
(610) 543-0692

2783

Chambers, Laura M.

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Thomas Lauver [tlauver@ptd.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 8:03 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Lauver  
648 S Hill Dr  
Middleburg, PA 17842-8398  
(570) 837-3056

RECEIVED  
NOV 24 2009 8:03 PM  
PENN FUTURE

2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Mike McClurkin [mike2333@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 8:03 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
BOARD COMMENTS  
2009 NOV 30 PM 3:44  
RECEIVED

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Mike McClurkin  
22 Circle Dr  
Mechanicsburg, PA 17055-6139  
(717) 697-2279

Chambers, Laura M.

2783

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Douglas Metzler [doug@sis.pitt.edu]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 8:03 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Dr. Douglas Metzler  
315 Leax Ln  
Turtle Creek, PA 15145-1127  
(412) 824-9549

RECEIVED  
NOV 24 2009 8:03 PM  
PENN FUTURE

2783

Chambers, Laura M.

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Robert Drummey [rdrummey@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 8:03 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

INDUSTRIAL REGULATION  
PERMITS DIVISION  
2009 NOV 24 PM 5:44  
RECEIVED

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Robert Drummey  
3790 Stoughton Rd  
Collegeville, PA 19426-3446  
(610) 489-3670

2783

Chambers, Laura M.

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Brynn Schmitt [brynnschmitt@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 8:33 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Brynn Schmitt  
1178 Wood Rd  
Mansfield, PA 16933-8883  
(570) 662-7402

RECEIVED  
NOV 24 3 30 PM '09  
PENN FUTURE  
HEALTH/REGISTRATION

2783

Chambers, Laura M.

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Jack Paradise [jpar@pitt.edu]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 9:03 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

RECEIVED  
2009 NOV 24 PM 9  
NOTES ON REGULATORY  
EVALUATION

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jack Paradise  
4211 Bigelow Blvd  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213-2649  
(412) 364-6831

2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Seth Feinberg [xeth@mishmashmedia.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 9:03 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways: I strongly support this

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Seth Feinberg  
2575 River Rd  
Damascus, PA 18415-3503  
(570) 224-6465

RECEIVED  
2009 NOV 20 PM 5:01  
EPA REGION 3  
WATER QUALITY  
DIVISION

2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Brooke Wieczorek [baw52@pitt.edu]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 9:33 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED  
2009 NOV 24 PM 5:42  
NORTH CAROLINA  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Brooke Wieczorek  
3805 University Drive C  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213-4604  
(610) 449-3855

Chambers, Laura M.

2783

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of John Inserra [john.inserra@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 9:33 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mrs. John Inserra  
1219 Driftwood Dr  
Pittsburgh, PA 15243-1738  
(412) 276-8596

RECEIVED  
2009 NOV 30 PM 2:41  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
HARRISBURG, PA

2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of John DeMillion [johnd@cciu.org]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 10:03 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Please protect our streams and other waterways

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. John DeMillion  
44 Tarrytown Ln  
Downingtown, PA 19335-1297  
(610) 873-6657

RECEIVED  
2009 NOV 24 PM 3:00  
REGISTRATION

2783

**Chambers, Laura M.**

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Thomas Wallace [tewet@verizon.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 9:33 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Thomas Wallace  
768 Springton Rd  
Glenmoore, PA 19343-1208  
(610) 942-2672

RECEIVED  
NOV 24 11 21 AM '09  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2783

Chambers, Laura M.

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Kelly Riley [khanlon74@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 10:03 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED  
NOV 24 10 30 AM '09  
MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.
- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.
- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kelly Riley  
704 Alison Ave  
Mechanicsburg, PA 17055-6652  
(717) 620-8222

2783

Chambers, Laura M.

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Arthur Brogley [uldigger@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 10:03 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED  
2009 NOV 24 PM 3:41  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
HARRISBURG, PA

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mr. Arthur Brogley  
28 Letherman Bridge Rd  
Scenery Hill, PA 15360-1833  
(724) 945-6225

Chambers, Laura M.

2783

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Ben Culbertson [gailandmiles@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 10:33 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ben Culbertson  
141 Park Pl E  
Shippensburg, PA 17257-9216  
(717) 532-8721

RECEIVED  
2009 NOV 30 PM 3:11  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

2783

Chambers, Laura M.

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Rosemary Caolo [rosemary.caolo@verizon.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 10:03 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED  
2009 NOV 23 PM 3:11  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
HARRISBURG, PENNSYLVANIA

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Dr. Rosemary Caolo  
1512 E Gibson St  
Scranton, PA 18510-1902  
(570) 342-1650

2783

Chambers, Laura M.

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Lea Stabinski [spiritlea@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 11:03 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

RECEIVED  
2009 NOV 30 PM 3:11  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Dr. Lea Stabinski  
1885 Meredith Ln  
Blue Bell, PA 19422-3657  
(610) 279-4601

2783

Chambers, Laura M.

---

**From:** PennFuture [pennfuture@pennfuture.org] on behalf of Barbara VanHorn [bvhbarb@pa.net]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 24, 2009 11:33 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** Our waterways deserve the best protection

Nov 24, 2009

EQB EQB EQB

Dear EQB EQB,

I am pleased to learn that a rule is being considered to improve the state's sediment control and stormwater management. With an increase in natural gas drilling, and the continued threat of factory farming, we must protect our waterways from pollution.

Sadly, the proposed rule does not go far enough. The new rule should:

- Prohibit the use of the permit-by-rule in high quality waters. The

permit-by-rule would fast-track permitting decisions, meaning less time for review and fewer opportunities for public participation. Permits that would impact some of our best rivers and streams require more scrutiny, not less.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 100 feet along all of our waterways, including wetlands. The overwhelming body of science supports keeping or creating riparian forest buffers, or greenways, along all of our rivers and streams.

- Require riparian forest buffers of at least 150 feet along all of our

special protection waterways. The proposed rule would mandate 150-foot riparian forest buffers along all of our exceptional value waters, but does not impose a similar requirement along all high quality waters, which also deserve this increased protection.

I urge you to revise the rule consistent with these recommendations.

Sincerely,

Ms. Barbara VanHorn  
41 Petersburg Ln  
Duncannon, PA 17020-1900  
(717) 834-6458

RECEIVED  
2009 NOV 30 PM 3:07  
NORTHWEST PENNSYLVANIA  
ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION AGENCY